



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,196	02/27/2002	Sunil V. Thakur	SUNI	1473
7590 08/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Guy McClung			LE, KHANH H	
Suite 347				-
16690 Champion Forest Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spring, TX 77379-7023			3622	
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,196	THAKUR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh H. Le	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/4/05.						
•	action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
						8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p	(-) -: (-).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,				

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 4, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-13 are cancelled. New claims 14-22 are added. Claims 14 and 22 are independent.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments have been carefully reviewed but found unpersuasive. Hills et al. US 5484988 is added as a secondary prior art reference to the previous references to accommodate the new limitations.

The following responses, previously presented, are still relevant to Applicants' present arguments.

Williams was used for the sole purpose of teaching that coupons may be provided (downloaded) to a user's system automatically (without the consumer's knowledge) or on demand. Thus it was earlier stated that "It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add Williams to Kepecs because both references deal with coupons and the

automatic download thereof is an art recognized equivalent for delivering coupons on demand ...".

Kepecs already teaches automatic applying of the discounts once the discounts are provided to the customer's account. In Kepecs the customer selects the discounts. As presented above, Williams further teaches that discounts can also be provided without the customer's

Application/Control Number: 10/085,196 Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

awareness in addition to selection by the customer. Once provided, either by customer selection or indiscriminately to the customer's account as taught by Williams the method of Kepecs works to automatically apply the discounts once the discounted purchases are made, regardless of the customer's awareness. Thus the Kepecs/Williams system meet at least Applicants' independent claims as presented below.

(Please also note that automatic applying of discounts to purchases at POS's without customer awareness of the discounts is known before Applicants' filing date as shown by the art cited in the conclusion sections of the previous Office Actions which are herein incorporated by reference).

It is noted that contrary to argument, Kepecs discloses transmitting signal to the vendor to cause a discount to such items purchased by the consumer and it also discloses a host system that applies a discount on an itemized basis for the benefit of the consumer (see at least col. 10 lines 59-64).

The arguments as to Hoffman are unpersuasive as Kepecs teaches automatic applying of discounts to transactions once the discounts are made available to the customers, and Williams teaches the availability can be effected either upon customer demand or automatically without it.

Claim rejections. 35 U.S.C. 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 14-16, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kepecs, US 6009411 hereinafter Kepecs in view of Williams US 6075971, herein Williams and further in view of Hills et al. US 5484988, herein Hills.

Art Unit: 3622

Kepecs generally discloses a method and system for distributing and redeeming electronic promotions to a consumer through the Internet is provided. An account which is associated with a unique key is maintained for each consumer account. Access is permitted to the consumer account upon presentation of the unique key over the internet. The consumer is presented discount or other promotional choices of items available in at least one store associated with the key, or a collection of such stores, over the Internet and the selections of the discount or promotional choices made by the consumer over the internet are recorded. Upon purchase of items at the associated store by the consumer, such data are received, and the selections and purchases are reconciled to record a credit in the customer account. No consumer action other than the selection of promotions desired is required for item purchase.

Kepecs does not disclose applying the discount without a selection from the consumer of any said applicable discount, and without requiring consumer awareness of any said applicable discount.

However, Williams discloses a method and apparatus for providing and monitoring coupons via a network wherein coupons may be downloaded to a user's system automatically or on demand via the internet (see at least abstract).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add Williams to Kepecs because both references deal with coupons and the automatic download thereof is an art recognized equivalent for delivering coupons on demand as taught by Williams.

Regarding the new limitations of split connection and delay of connection of the POS to a payment processing system, it is noted that in Kepecs the DAP (host)

Art Unit: 3622

computer is consulted directly for each consumer action at the point of sale, thus eliminating the need for the DAP to pre-load the store computer 23 with data about discounts available to the consumer (see at least col. 11 lines 10-13, 20-21). This achieves exactly what Applicants discloses: "to effect a real-time, itemized download of the rebates/discounts" at the POS per paragraph [0074] of the Specifications. Further, Kepecs implicitly discloses delay of payment processing until the itemized discounts are computed against the final bill.

As to the split dial connection, Hills et al. US 5484988, discloses a split dial connection at the POS to allow access three interconnected but separate data files for the purpose of performing different types of authorization (see at least col.8 lines 44 to col. 9 l. 65). Since Kepecs discloses instant connection to the host computer for checking availability of discounts to the instant purchases, since Kepecs implicitly discloses delay of totaling the final bill until all discounts are applied to the purchases, and since split dial up connection is known to be used at POS's to verify authorization of one kind or another, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that an alternative to Kepecs' s method of instant checking of applicable discounts and the subsequent totaling of the bill would have been to use the split dial connection method taught by Hills to allow checking validity, applicability and authorization of the discounts. Delaying of connecting to payment processing while split dialing, would also have been also obvious because Kepecs implicitly discloses delay of payment processing until the itemized discounts are computed against the final bill.

Thus as to claims 14, 19, 20, and 22 Kepecs in view of Williams and Hills discloses:

A method (Figs 1,2,3 and associated text) for carrying out a purchase comprising the

steps of:

LOCATEd

reading at a point-of-sale terminal lodated at a vendor consumer-

identifying information encoded on a device having a readable data string (see at least col 7 1. 27 -38; col. 10 lines 19-67);

maintaining a database of discounts available at the vendor;

determining on a real-time basis whether the items purchased by

the consumer are items offered at a discount by the vendor, said determining

Art Unit: 3622

not done by the consumer (see at least Fig 2 and associated text, especially ATM, UPC, (see at least col. 4 1. 48-67, col. 10 lines 19-67;

transmitting a signal to the vendor to cause a discount to such

items purchased by the consumer on an itemized basis that are identified in the

database as being offered by the vendor at a discount, said transmitting not

done by the consumer (Fig 1-3 and associated text, especially DAP; see at least col 3 1. 30 et

seq.; col 7 1. 60 et seq. to col. 10 1. 67);

connecting in an initial split connection connecting the point-of-sale

terminal to the host system for communication therewith, the database of

discounts maintained in the host system, the host system remote from the

point-of-sale terminal (KEPECS., Fig 1-3 and associated text, especially DAP; see at least col 3

1. 30 et seq.; col 7 1. 60 et seq. to col. 10.1. 67);

the host system accomplishing said determining;

the host system accomplishing said transmitting to effect said

discount (Fig 1-3 and associated text, especially DAP; see at least col 3 1. 30 et seq.; col 7 1. 60

et seq. to col. 10 1. 67);

initially delaying connection of the point-of-sale terminal to a

payment processing system; and

following said determining and said transmitting, connecting the

point-of-sale terminal to the payment processing system and completing the

purchase via the payment processing system (see at least Fig 2 and associated text, especially

ATM, UPC, (see at least col. 4 1. 48-67, col. 10 lines 19-67).

means at the host system for storing said transaction information (KEPECS., figs 2 and 3 and accompanying text; col 10 . 59-col 13 1. 22).

Further, Kepecs does disclose

using the internet to contact the host system (fig 1 and associated text);

the consumer having a magnetic strip card (KEPECS., see at least col 7 1. 27 –38; col. 10 lines 19-67); and following said determining and said transmitting, completing the purchase via a payment processing system (see at least col. 10 lines 19-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,196 Page 7

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 15. Kepecs discloses the system of claim 1. Further Kepecs at least suggests means for calculating a future discount for the consumer based on a payment amount for the completed purchase. (See col 8 1. 12-46: targeting individual consumers based on past purchase histories)

As to claim 16, providing the consumer with information about the future discount, once determined would have been obvious to inform the customer.

5. Claims 17-18, 21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Kepecs in view of Williams as applied to claim 3 above and further in view of Hoffman US 5297026.

Claims 17-18.

As per claims 17-18 Kepecs does not disclose means

for calculating an amount to a retirement account contribution for a consumer based on the transaction information or based on the calculated amount.

However, Hoffman US 5297026 disclose giving rewards for purchases in the form of a percentage of the amount spent deposited in a customer account earning interest at a higher rate (abstract). Thus one skilled in the incentives arts would have known from Hoffman's teachings regarding the use of such incentives accounts to include retirement accounts such as to lure naive aging baby boomers to spend more. As to the basis of such reward being the nature of the transaction such marketing technique is well-known and obvious (e.g. use MasterCard, earn more rewards; buy particular product, earn more).

As to claim 21 Kepecs discloses means for determining a pertinent geographical area for the consumer (col 9 1. 2-5;col 7 1. 60-col 8 1. 22.; col 9 1. 30 et seq "the consumer selects the desired discounts, and if required, at which stores"; geographic

Art Unit: 3622

limitations and sellers information)

means for automatically determining the pertinent geographic area based on information about a consumer (col 11 1. 4-10: the computer infers customer likely store selection from his purchasing history). Hoffman further discloses the additional features as explained in claims 17-18 for the same motivation.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh H. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-6721. The Examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Tuesday-Wednesday 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3600. Hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 8, 2005

JAMES W. MYHRE PRIMARY EXAMINER